

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/587,386	05/03/2007	Stefan Schorling	22398-US	4893
22829 7590 95/27/2011 Roche Molecular Systems, Inc. 4300 Hacienda Drive			EXAMINER	
			THOMAS, DAVID C	
Pleasanton, CA 94588			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1637	•
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/27/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application/Control No. Applicant(s)/Patent under **Application Number** Reexamination 10/587,386 SCHORLING, STEFAN Art Unit KATHLEEN K. BRAGDON 1600 Document Code - AP.PRE.DEC

Notice of Panel Decision from Pre-Appeal Brief Review



s is in response to the Pre-Appeal Brief Request for Rev	iew filed 4/25/2011.
1. \square Improper Request – The Request is improper an reason(s):	d a conference will not be held for the following
☐ The Notice of Appeal has not been filed concurred. ☐ The request does not include reasons why a revenue of the concept of t	view is appropriate.
The time period for filing a response continues to run from the mail date of the last Office communication, if no Not	
2. ☐ Proceed to Board of Patent Appeals and Interfield. The application remains under appeal because this required to submit an appeal brief in accordance with brief will be reset to be one month from mailing this decrunning from the receipt of the notice of appeal, whicher appeal brief is extendible under 37 CFR 1.136 based up of the notice of appeal, as applicable.	ere is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal ision, or the balance of the two-month time period ver is greater. Further, the time period for filing of th
☐ The panel has determined the status of the cla Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 4-10.15 & 16 Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	im(s) is as follows:
Allowable application – A conference has been Allowance will be mailed. Prosecution on the merits renapplicant at this time.	
4. ☐ Reopen Prosecution – A conference has been h action will be mailed. No further action is required by a	
All participants:	
1) <u>/KATHLEEN K. BRAGDON/</u> .	(3) <u>KENNETH HORLICK</u> .
2) <u>GARY BENZION</u> .	(4) <u>DAVID THOMAS</u> .

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office Part of Paper No. 20110519